

# GraphQL

## Introduction

### What is GraphQL

- API specification Open Sourced by Facebook in 2015
- Developed to address two primary shortcomings of REST: flexibility and efficiency
- Described as being compatible with any language and framework

### Key conceptual difference to REST

- REST provides unique resource endpoints that return fixed data structures
- GraphQL provides a single endpoint that responds to queries
- This is described as 'declarative data fetching' because clients express what they need and receive nothing more

### Three primary motivations behind GraphQL

- Conserving network: increased mobile requires more efficient data loading
- Abstraction: a heterogeneous client-side landscape makes it difficult to build a single REST API that meets all client needs
- Flexibility: it is easier to change a client that obtain data from a single queryable endpoint than it is to modify the design of a REST API

## Core concepts

Implements a type system that you define with a 'schema' for the API. Schemas are typically a collection of GraphQL types. The schema is one of the most important concepts in GraphQL because it represents the contract between client and server: clients can expect these X capabilities and here's how you access them

### Schemas are defined with the Schema Definition Language (SDL)

```
type Person {
  name: String!
  age: Int!
}
```

### Relations

```
type Person {
  name: String!
  age: Int!
  posts: [Post!]!
}

type Post {
  title: String!
  author: Person!
}
```

### CRUD - request / response

#### Queries: reading 'fields'

##### Simple

```
{
  allPersons {
    name
    age
  }
}
```

##### With arguments (if specified in the schema)

```
{
  allPersons(last: 2) {
    name
    age
  }
}
```

Get all Persons and, for each of them, all of their posts

```
{
  allPersons {
    name
    posts {
      title
    }
  }
}
```

GraphQL seems to simplify the process of retrieving relations

Compare this to something 'RESTful' like <https://github.com/orgs/nationalarchives/teams/digital-services/members>

### Mutations provide for: Creating, Updating and Deleting

Create a person and send me the created person's ID in the response.

```
mutation {
  createPerson(name: "Bob", age: 36) {
    id
  }
}
```

Creating and retrieving in a single round-trip

### Subscriptions: sockets

Client subscribes to a new Person being created. Whenever that event happens, the server pushes this information to the client

```
subscription {
  newPerson {
    name
    age
  }
}
```

Provide for 'realtime' updates

Initiates a continuous connection to the server (socket). This is a stream rather than the request/response cycle elsewhere in GraphQL

## Key architectural points

GraphQL is only a specification that describes how a GraphQL server has to behave

You write your own implementation (but there are many reference implementations available)

GraphQL is transport layer agnostic and can be used with any available network protocol (incl. TCP or WebSockets etc.)

### Schema and Types

Uses a strong type system to define the capabilities of an API

All types that are exposed by the API are described in a schema (using GraphQL Schema Definition Language)

This schema serves as a contract between client and server

Which allows frontend, backend - or different micro services - teams to work independently of each other

### Resolver functions

A GraphQL server has one resolver function per field (and the sole purpose of the function is to retrieve the data for that field)

### Architectural use cases (not necessarily mutually exclusive):

#### GraphQL with a connected database

Here the GraphQL server resolves queries and constructs a response from data it retrieves from a database

#### GraphQL as a thin integration layer in front of a number of third party or legacy systems.

Acts to unify many different (existing) APIs and hide complexity of data fetching logic

This might include databases, web services, 3rd party APIs etc.

Provides considerable flexibility for the creation and amendment of clients

## GraphQL vs REST

### Pros

Avoids overfetching and underfetching

Example: details page, related research guide, traces through time

In REST: 3 requests with a lot of unnecessary data being requested

Commonly dealt with in supposedly RESTful applications by creating endpoints that provide only the data needed for a specific view

But this tight coupling slows the ability to respond to changed requirements for the front end

In GraphQL: single request

POST request - the body of which contains a query that describes all the data requirements of the client

Note: this means that more information is required at the point of request

Supports fine grained analytics about what data is being requested by clients

This information can be used to evolve an API to deprecate fields that are no longer needed (because you can see what they are)

### Versioning

Because GraphQL APIs are open for extension this avoids problems associated with the common practice of versioning RESTful APIs.

### Cons

#### Error handling (in HTTP)

Always results in a 200 status code, with the error message provided in the response

#### Loss of URIs and 'resources'

#### Loss of HTTP caching

While REST can take advantage of HTTP caching, GraphQL clients must manage caching themselves